1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION			
3		x		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Cr : 1:	iminal Action No.: 21-cr-260		
5	versus : Tu	esday, June 18, 2024		
6	CARLOS JOSE TURCIOS : Al	exandria, Virginia		
7	Defendant. : Pa	ges 1-18		
8 9	The above-entitled sentencing was heard before the Honorable Leonie M. Brinkema, United States District Judge. This proceeding commenced at 10:33 a.m.			
10	<u>APPEARANCES:</u>			
11	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: JOHN BLANCHARD, ESQUIRE			
12	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 2100 Jamieson Avenue			
13	Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 299-3700			
14		,		
15	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ORGANIZED CRIME AND GANG SECTION 1301 New York Avenue, NW			
16	Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005			
17				
18		N PELT, ESQUIRE		
19	3033 Wilson	ELT LAW, PLLC Boulevard		
20	Suite 700 Arlington, V (571) 356-90	irginia 22201		
21				
22	Official Cou			
23	401 Courthou	-		
24	(607) 743-18	Virginia 22314 94 erting@gmail.com		
25	COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES 1			
		_		

## 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Criminal Number 1:21-cr-260, 3 United States of America versus Carlos Jose Turcios 4 Villatoro. 5 Will counsel please note their appearances for the 6 record, first for the government. 7 MR. BLANCHARD: Good morning, Your Honor. John Blanchard and Matt Hoff on behalf of the United States. 8 9 MR. HOFF: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. MS. VAN PELT: Good morning, Your Honor. 11 12 Libbey Van Pelt, and I'm here for Carlos Jose Turcios 13 Villatoro. 14 THE COURT: Very good. And we have an 15 interpreter. We'll have her affirmed. 16 (Interpreter sworn in open court.) 17 THE INTERPRETER: Good morning, Your Honor. 18 Cynthia Lopez Roman, federally-certified court interpreter. 19 THE COURT: Good morning. 20 All right. Ms. Van Pelt, have you had enough time 21 to go over the presentence report yourself and with your 22 client? 23 MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. I just need one 24 minute to secure his signature on the restitution order. 25 THE COURT: Fine. 2

1 (Pause.) 2 MS. VAN PELT: Your Honor, we're prepared to 3 proceed, and I have gone over all provisions of the 4 presentence investigation report with Mr. Turcios. 5 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Van Pelt, do you have 6 any objections, additions or deletions or corrections you 7 want made to the report itself? MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. And this one is a 8 9 new one that I just recognized and discussed with the 10 government. But the report -- the report notes that 11 Count 2, the drug conspiracy, has a mandatory minimum of 12 five years and a maximum of 40 years. That is inaccurate, 13 because, as the Court recalls, the question of the drug 14 weights didn't go to the jury, and so instead of the 15 statutory provisions for Count 2 being a mandatory minimum 16 of five years and a maximum of 40 years, there should be a 17 maximum of 20 years, and the Court could -- there's no 18 mandatory minimum sentence. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Blanchard, do you agree with that? 20 MR. BLANCHARD: I do, Your Honor. We're looking at page 25 under statutory provisions. Count 2 should go 21 22 zero years to -- zero to 20. 23 THE COURT: All right. That correction will be 24 made. Because the jury's findings were different on this 25 count. 3

```
1
               MR. BLANCHARD: The Court struck the special
 2
     finding before the jury verdict, yeah.
 3
               THE COURT: In this case. Right.
 4
               MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. The correction
 5
     should also be made in addition to the page referenced by
 6
    Mr. Blanchard.
 7
               Also on page 2, it discusses a mandatory minimum
 8
     of five years to a maximum of 40 years. I think it also
 9
     changes the supervised release and the fine amount, and I
10
     request that change be made as well, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: I think the fine amount then is only
12
     $250,000 is the max. Yeah. It takes the 5 million out.
13
               MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.
15
               Ms. Van Pelt, you know that the probation office
16
     calculates the offense level here as a Level 43. The
17
     defendant has a criminal history of I. Because of the
18
     convictions on Counts 4, 6 and 8 of the superseding
19
     indictment -- or second superseding indictment, that's
20
    mandatory life sentences. The sentence on Count 1 has a
21
    maximum term of life imprisonment. The sentence on Count 2
22
     is up to 20 years. And the sentence on Count 3 is up to ten
    years.
23
24
               You're not disagreeing with those calculations;
25
     correct?
```

1 MS. VAN PELT: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. The fine range then varies 3 from 50,000 to \$250,000, there is a total of \$600 of special 4 assessments, and in terms of the periods of supervised 5 release, I don't believe anything changes there. It would 6 be two to five years as to Count 1; it would still be up to 7 five years, I believe, as to Count 2 because that's a 8 20-year maximum sentence; and one to three years on Count 3; 9 and then two to five years on each of Counts 4, 6 and 8, the 10 periods of supervised release. All right. And \$600 in 11 special assessments. 12 All right. Let me hear first then from the United 13 States. Yes? 14 MS. VAN PELT: Your Honor, we had another 15 objection as well to the presentence investigation report, 16 and that's one that we made in our sentencing memorandum. 17 Mr. Turcios objects to the inclusion of the Tate 18 and the Smith homicides in the presentence investigation 19 There was no evidence whatsoever presented at trial report. 20 that he was involved in those two homicides as detailed in 21 the sentencing memorandum -- and this is the same objection 22 that Mr. Arevalo made -- for the actions of others to 23 qualify as relevant offense conduct; they have to be jointly 24 undertaken. 25 When determining whether specific conduct is

5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

jointly undertaken, the Court must first determine the scope of the criminal activity the particular defendant agreed to jointly undertake. So there has to be some suggestion that Mr. Turcios agreed to jointly undertake this action, not just that his co-conspirators did things that were knowable or foreseeable to him. THE COURT: But, you know, presentence reports serve more than one purpose, they are there to assist the Court at arriving at an appropriate sentence. They also help the Bureau of Prisons in knowing how to designate a particular person. In this case, the references to those two murders do not, in my view, affect the sentencing decision at all because of the nature of -- the mandatory nature of these charges. MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: But they are important for the Bureau of Prisons to be able to evaluate the full scope of the criminal activity of which he was within the -- encompassed within, because it can affect security issues. And so I recognize the objection, but I'm not going to grant it. I'm not going to change the presentence report in that respect. The Bureau of Prisons needs that information. MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. I understand the Court's ruling. 6

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then just one more note that Mr. Turcios had is in the presentence report, the probation officer notes that she has identified no potential departures -- or that -- no considerations that may warrant a departure. And from Mr. Turcios' perspective, the way that he is a deportable alien may potentially warrant a departure, particularly on the sentences that are less than mandatory life. And that's because it's a fact that deportable aliens are subject to harsher conditions while incarcerated in the Bureau of Prisons. They are not eligible to participate in various programming, and also they are not eligible to go to a residential re-entry center or any term of home confinement like other citizens would be. So Mr. Turcios would just note that, from his perspective, that is a potential consideration that may warrant a departure, particularly on those counts that the Court is sentencing less than life. THE COURT: All right. I think that's more an appropriate argument counsel makes rather than a defect in the presentence report itself. But I understand your objection. I'm not changing or adding anything to the presentence report to reflect that. MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then, Mr. Blanchard, I'll hear from the government. 7

```
1
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. BLANCHARD:
 2
               And while Ms. Van Pelt was making her objections,
 3
     we just double checked briefly on the cocaine penalties. It
 4
     looks like it's a fine under 21 U.S.C. 841 of up to a
 5
    million dollars.
 6
               THE COURT: Up to a million dollars?
 7
               MR. BLANCHARD: And it looks like the term of
 8
     supervised release is at least three years.
 9
               THE COURT:
                          Three to five?
10
              MR. BLANCHARD: Yes, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: All right. We'll make
12
     those changes.
13
               MR. BLANCHARD: And, Your Honor, I was turning
14
     around to see -- it was my understanding that Mr. Mayorga's
15
     family was going to be here today, but they're not present.
16
     They were here, as Your Honor will probably remember,
17
     throughout the trial. Mr. Mayorga's brother, Jose, and his
18
    mother were here.
19
               And I'm now -- it's my third time appearing in
20
     front of you now talking about these murders in a sentencing
21
    hearing, but in this case, we believe that the guidelines
22
     are appropriate. We believe that even if there were not a
23
    mandatory life sentence that was required in this case, it's
24
    more than warranted.
25
              Mr. Beltran and Mr. Mayorga were about 40 years
```

old, they were alcoholics who hung out behind gas stations and drank beer. They weren't a threat to anybody, they weren't a threat to MS-13. Mr. Mayorga was not a gang member, had no gang affiliation. He was, as the autopsy reports reflected, heavily intoxicated, more than twice the legal limit to drive on the night that he was killed. He was a guy drinking beer behind a gas station who thought that someone pretending to be a friend was going to help him score some cocaine, and that's how he died.

It really didn't matter who the victims were.

This was all about committing murder so that people could move up so that they could get new ranks, so that some of them could get new nicknames. And that's what this case was. The gang that we deal with in these cases, MS-13, sure, they sell drugs; sure, they generate profits, but it's paltry compared to the kind of funds that we see other gangs and other drug trafficking organizations making.

This gang is largely about violence, it's about committing murder, and you wonder what they're getting out of it. They're not making the kind of money that makes this risk worth it, and certainly in this case, nearly all the money that people were making was required to go up the chain anyway.

We now are -- we have to routinely get pre-dawn search warrants because the members of this gang are leaving  $^{\circ}$ 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their homes before 6 a.m. to paint houses, to cut grass, to work. They are gainfully employed, they are basically living the lives by day of a citizen or someone who is contributing to society, and then at night, the alter ego comes on. There's a new nickname, I'm not Carlos anymore, I'm Oculto, or pick an example. And there's a dissociation, it seems, where there are people who are otherwise fathers, siblings, children, co-workers who are going on to commit these heinous, heinous crimes in the name of an organization that does nothing for them. Again, they're not enriching themselves. All these cases end essentially the same way, and it's with people going to prison, and it's with people going to prison for a long time. This gang isn't taking people for a year or two years or five years. Many of these people have no criminal history when they come before the Court. It's about murder. It is an extremely, extremely violent organization that seems to have a hold and just an endless supply of young people who are continuing to fall into this trap. And we believe that it is extremely important to deter others, that we impose sentences that are strict, that the word is out, that if anybody participates in these gang-related murders, that they're going to be dealt with as strictly as the law will allow. And, again, I -- we've now wrapped up this

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prosecution, Judge, and I can't tell you -- I have no more information today about why anybody was murdered than I did when we started this case years ago; so ... THE COURT: All right. Ms. Van Pelt. MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Turcios preserves all issues that he's raised at all points during the course of this prosecution, that includes his objections on Eighth Amendment grounds to the mandatory life sentences. Obviously Mr. Turcios and counsel have seen how the Court has sentenced the co-defendants in this case. And we'd just make one note on the cocaine conspiracy, Count 2. The Court has sentenced the co-defendants to ten years on that count, which is the statutory maximum. I don't think that's appropriate here for Mr. Turcios. While the Court didn't grant his Rule 29 motion for acquittal post-trial, it is the case, and I think the government would agree, that Mr. Turcios was far less culpable when it came to the cocaine conspiracy, and, in the Court's order denying the Rule 29 motion, accepted just the government's general assertion that the clique sold cocaine, and Mr. Turcios was a member of the clique and paid dues, and so thereby he was culpable as to the cocaine conspiracy. But there were no controlled buys, there were no suggestions that Mr. Turcios himself sold any cocaine. And 11

1 really the only evidence was that single message between 2 Mr. Arevalo and his girlfriend which refers to Carlos. And 3 the message said: I had coke for Carlos and had sold it to 4 him. Which indicates, you know, that it was sold to him for 5 his personal use, not given to him for distribution. 6 was no suggestion that he was actually distributing the 7 cocaine. 8 And so on Count 2, the cocaine conspiracy, 9 Mr. Turcios suggests that a sentence, you know, far less 10 than ten years is appropriate for him as it pertains to his 11 conduct. 12 THE COURT: All right. Did you want to respond to 13 that, Mr. Blanchard? I think you recommended ten years. 14 MR. BLANCHARD: Your Honor, certainly the evidence 15 at trial was that Mr. Turcios Villatoro was not among the 16 more prolific drug dealers in the gang. My recollection 17 standing here today without the transcript was that he and 18 Mr. Arevalo were more into marijuana for the most part. 19 We do believe that certainly there was sufficient 20 evidence to support his conviction on Count 2, but he 21 certainly was not selling the quantities of cocaine that we 22 saw from, for example, Manilester Andrade or Mario Guevara 23 or Melvin Canales. So to the extent that counsel is arguing 24 that he's -- he should receive a lesser sentence on that 25 count because he's not as culpable in the cocaine dealing as

```
1
     other people who received 10-year sentences or 20-year
 2
     sentences, we would agree with that.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Turcios Villatoro,
     come up to the lectern.
 4
               This is your chance to say anything you would like
 5
 6
     the Court to consider before sentence is imposed.
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: I have nothing to say.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. Well, as I know Ms. Van
 9
     Pelt has explained to you, several of these counts of
10
     conviction carry mandatory life sentences. The Court is
11
     going to have to impose those sentence as follows:
12
               You're sentenced to life imprisonment concurrent
13
     on Counts 1, 4, 6 and 8. You're sentenced to five years in
14
     the custody of the Bureau of Prisons as to Count 2, and ten
15
     years as to Count 3. All those sentences are run concurrent
16
     with each other. And you will receive credit for the time
17
     you've been in custody since August 5 of 2020.
18
               I think, Counsel, you asked that he be designated
     to Lewisburg; is that correct?
19
20
               MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: All right. We'll make that
22
     recommendation as well.
23
               When you complete the prison sentence -- well, you
    may not ever complete it if it's a life sentence, we don't
24
25
     know, but I am imposing five years of supervised release as
```

1 to Counts 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8, and three years as to Count 3, 2 all to run concurrent with each other. 3 Now, Ms. Van Pelt, when you went through the 4 presentence report with your client, did you carefully go 5 over pages 26 through 28, which list the conditions of 6 supervision? 7 MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. While you're on 8 9 supervision, you have to follow all the following 10 conditions. First of all, the conditions that are spelled 11 out on pages 26 through 28 of the presentence report; do you 12 understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 In particular, you have to be of THE COURT: 15 uniform good behavior while you're under supervision. 16 means you cannot violate any federal, state or local laws, 17 and that includes traffic laws; do you understand that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: All right. And Number 2, you have to cooperate fully with the immigration authorities with any 20 21 deportation proceedings they bring against you, and if you 22 are deported, you're not allowed back in the United States 23 for any reason whatsoever unless you get permission from the 24 proper American authorities to do so; do you understand 25 that? 14

1 Yeah, I understand. THE DEFENDANT: 2 THE COURT: All right. If for some reason you are 3 allowed to remain in the United States, then you have to 4 also follow the following conditions. Number 1, you cannot 5 have any association with any known gang members or frequent 6 any locations where gang members are known to hang out; do 7 you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Number 2, you must be drug 10 free. You'll have to submit to drug testing for which --11 and then such in or outpatient drug treatment if the testing 12 shows that you need such treatment; do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 14 THE COURT: You will have to pay the costs of 15 testing and treatment to the extent you are able, and you'll 16 have to waive any privacy rights that you have to the 17 testing and treatment so the probation office can monitor 18 your compliance; do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 20 THE COURT: All right. And I think I said the costs of the testing and treatment I'm going to waive in 21 22 this case. 23 Lastly, you'll be required to get a GED in case 24 you are allowed to stay in the country. Most likely that 25 won't happen, but I just have those in the order. 15

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based on your financial situation, the Court finds you are unable to afford the costs of incarceration, supervision or any of the statutory fines, but there is six counts of conviction, that means \$600 in special assessments, that does have to be paid promptly; do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE COURT: Since you pled not guilty and were found guilty at trial, you have a right to appeal both your convictions and your sentence. Any appeal has to be noticed within 14 days of today's date. You have the right to be represented by a lawyer throughout your appeal. If you cannot afford to pay for a lawyer yourself, one will be appointed for you; do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE COURT: And Ms. Van Pelt, I want you to stay on board with your client to file a notice of appeal. MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And then depending upon what you and the Fourth Circuit decide, whether you stay on as appointed counsel, you'll have to address that with the Fourth Circuit. MS. VAN PELT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further we need to address with the sentencing? 16

```
1
               MR. BLANCHARD: Your Honor, we have a restitution
 2
     order to hand up, and we also have a motion and order
 3
     dealing with the dismissal of the initial superseding
     indictment counts.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
 6
               MR. BLANCHARD: Did we hand you the restitution
 7
     order yet, Your Honor?
 8
               MS. VAN PELT: Oh, here it is.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. I've entered the order of
10
     dismissal.
11
               Let me take a look at the restitution order.
12
     giving us double-sided documents. They should not be
13
     double-sided. All right.
14
               MR. BLANCHARD: My apologies, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. I'm waiving any interest.
15
16
               Mr. Turcios Villatoro, this restitution order is
17
     going to require you to be jointly and severally, that means
18
     you're responsible for the $8,000, but so are the
19
     co-defendants. So if they make some of that payment, then
20
     you won't have to make as much.
21
               But do you understand you've signed an order that
22
     obligates you to pay possibly the whole 8,000 if the others
23
     don't; do you understand that?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
25
               THE COURT: All right. All right.
                                                   I've signed
                                                               17
```

1	the restitution order.	
2	Is there anything further on this case?	
3	MR. BLANCHARD: No, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: All right. Then we'll recess court	
5	for the day.	
6	(Proceedings adjourned at 10:55 a.m.)	
7		
8	I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate	
9	transcription of my stenographic notes.	
10		
11	Stephanie Austin	
12	Stephanie M. Austin, RPR, CRR	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	18	